

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS  
STATE OF MISSOURI

**FILED**  
DEC 17 2019

22<sup>ND</sup> JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
CIRCUIT CLERK'S OFFICE  
BY \_\_\_\_\_ DEPUTY

JAMES PUDLOWSKI, *et al.*, )  
 )  
 Plaintiffs, )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 THE ST. LOUIS RAMS, LLC, *et al.*, )  
 )

No. 1622-CC00083-01  
Div. 8

**FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT**

This matter came before the Court for hearing as provided in the Court's Preliminary Approval Order dated August 19, 2019, and on Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Settlement (the "Motion"). Due and adequate notice having been given to the Class as required in the Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings herein and otherwise being fully informed, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that:

1. This Judgment incorporates the Settlement Agreement's definitions by reference, and all terms used herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
2. This Court has jurisdiction over this Action and over all Parties to this Action, including all Class Members.
3. The Court finds that the Motion should be, and hereby is, **GRANTED**.
4. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement provides substantial benefit to the Class Members in return for a release and dismissal of the claims at issue in this Action against the Rams and is, in all respects, fair, just, reasonable, and adequate to the Class and, therefore, finally approves the Settlement Agreement under Missouri Rule of Civil Procedure 52.08(e), and directs the Settlement Agreement's terms to be performed as stated therein.

**ENTERED**  
**DEC 17 2019**  
**PAC**

5. The Court has already concluded in its Order dated February 6, 2019 that the Class satisfies the prerequisites of Rule 52.08 because the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, there are numerous questions of law or fact common to the Class, the claims of the Class Representatives are typical of claims of the Class, and the Class Representatives will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class. The Court certified the Class because the Court found that the questions of law or fact common to Class Members predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and that a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the controversy. The Court appointed Class Counsel to represent the Class.

6. Class Members and the Rams shall be bound by the Settlement Agreement and releases contained therein, as well as this Order and Final Judgment, and Class Members do not have any further opportunity to opt out of this litigation.

7. Any Class Member who did not timely file and serve an objection in writing and in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Notice to the Settlement Agreement, to the entry of this Order and Final Judgment, or to Class Counsel's application for fees and costs is deemed to have waived any such objection by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.

8. The Complaint and all claims asserted therein, including all claims of the Class, are dismissed with prejudice. Specifically included in the scope of this Order and Final Judgment, but without limitation, are any claims for violation of the MMPA made by any person that are based on or in any way related to the purchase of Rams' tickets and/or merchandise between April 21, 2010 and January 4, 2016, or are based on or in any way related to the conduct as alleged in the Complaint. The Parties shall bear their own costs, except as otherwise provided

in the Settlement Agreement and in the Court's separate order(s) regarding Plaintiffs' Fee Application.

9. On the Effective Date, the Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged the Released Parties from any and all claims for relief, causes of action, suits, petitions, demands in law or equity, or any allegations of liability, damages, debts, contracts, agreements, obligations, promises, attorneys' fees, costs, interest or expenses, whether liquidated or unliquidated, whether known or unknown, whether under state or federal law, that were or could have been asserted in the Action based on or in any way related to the purchase of Rams' tickets and/or merchandise between April 21, 2010 and January 4, 2016, or are based on or in any way related to the conduct as alleged in the Complaint.

10. The Notice, including the Long Form Notice, the Postcard Notice, the Email Notice, and the Publication Notice, given to the Class were the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, and said Notice was made in a reasonable manner and fully satisfied the requirements of applicable law, including Rule 52.08(c)(2), Rule 52.08(e), and the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution.

11. Except in connection with the enforcement of its provisions, the Settlement Agreement and any act performed or document executed under or in furtherance of the Settlement Agreement, or any negotiation, discussion, or proceedings in connection with the Settlement Agreement, shall not be admissible to the jury in this case and is not admissible in any other court or proceeding against any Party for any reason including, without limitation, as evidence of or construed as or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or

admission by the Rams with respect to the truth of any fact alleged by Plaintiffs or the validity of any claim that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint, or in any litigation, or the deficiency of any defense that was or could have been asserted in this Action, or in any litigation, or of any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing of the Rams.


12. Without affecting this Judgment's finality in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over implementation of the Settlement Agreement and all Parties.

13. If there is no Effective Date, then (i) this Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, and (ii) the Parties shall immediately revert to their litigation positions as of May 7, 2019 (the date on which the Parties agreed to certain provisions of a classwide settlement as reflected in the mediator's proposed term sheet dated May 3, 2019).

14. With respect to Plaintiffs' Fee Application, the Court sets forth its findings of fact and conclusions of law in a separate order awarding attorneys' fees and costs.

15. The Court finds that this Order and Judgment constitutes a final adjudication on the merits of this Action, and should be entered without delay. Accordingly, the Clerk is directed to enter this Judgment forthwith.

SO ORDERED:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
TIMOTHY J. BOYER, Judge

Dated: 12-17-19

S